FORM 140

Owner	Church	of	God	in	Chri	st,	Inc.	
Owners'	Address #48	3 R:	lver	St	reet,	Dan	nbury,	Connect
Property	Appraised	Knor	m as	5 #1	48 Ri	Lver	Street	, Danb
Redev	elopment	P	arcel	5	Bloc	sk 6	(or Ta	x Parce

ticut ary, Connecticut being el 12, SW side of River the three story store and apartment building thereon.

Recording Information Vol. 277 Pg. 193 Abraham Haddad to Church of God in Christ, Inc. *7/21/53 (R.S. \$7.15).

Tax Rate Assessment: Land . . . 2,680 Taxes **Building Improvements**

Total Assessment . .

Photographs and/or Sketch

*Subject passway R.O.W. for Coal and Wood to #50 River Street (Vol. 77 Pg. 414, 6/22/1883).

INSIDE LOT



150' ± 150'± SKETCH

RIVER STREET

Market Value (Appraisers Final Valuation)

3,100 Land Improvements **Building Improvements** 7.900 Total \$11,000

Certification: I certify that I inspected the property on February 24, 1960 and that this appraisal has been made in accordance with standards of ethics and practice of The American Institute of Real Estate Appraisers.

Date of Appraisal March 14, 1960

Appraisers Signature

NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION Zoning Industrial Boundaries Neighborhood boundaries coincide with the Redevelopment area which lies westerly of Main Street. Character and Trend Neighborhood is a combination of old factories, warehouses. stores, and tenements and a few dilapidated dwellings. Residential occupancy is non-white. Trend is downward. LAND DESCRIPTION Size 41 2 x 150 4 Frontage 41 / Area 6,150 Description Land is fairly level from the street Tine back to a point behind the rear of the building. It then rises sharply at the rear of the lot. Utilities Sewer, water, gas, electricity, curbs, gutters and sidewalks. Land Improvements Included in land value. Highest and Best Use of Property Meeting room and apartments as presently used or as store and apartments LAND VALUATION Please refer to Market Data - on page 4. Based on a study of the comparables. I feel that this land under present use is worth \$75 per front foot. \$3,075 Land Value incl. Land Improvements 3,075 Total Land BUILDING DESCRIPTION AND COST APPROACH Occupancy Meeting house and apartmeguiding Class D Age 70 plus Condition Low Fair to Poor. Number of Rooms 1 store-2 apt Number of Baths 2 Number of Lav. no 3 (12 roofford Height 26 Average Story Height 8.6 Number of Stories Single Floor Area 1,068 3,177 Total Area Shape: Approximate Square Rectangle or Slightly Irregular X Long Rectangle or Irregular Very Irregular (From Page 3) \$5.13 Total Unit Cost Per Square Foot Correct for Size and Shape. . Height . Dist. Multiplier . Total Adjusted Cost Per Square Foot Total Area _ 3,177 _ X _ \$7.34 \$23,319 Replacement Cost 16.323 Less Depreciation 70% Physical 60 Functional 10 Economic Building Value By Cost Approach Value of other Building Improvements 3,075 Add Land Value (include land improvements) TOTAL VALUE BY COST APPROACH In Round Figures \$10,100 Comments: The front 12 feet of this building is made of common brick. The rest of the building is frame. Although there are 7 rooms on the second floor one bedroom at the rear has been made into two of inadequate size. The two apartments on the second floor share a bath and the two apartments on the third floor share a bath. Apartments are reached by outside wooden stairs.

	BUILDING DES	CRIPTION —	Component Part Check List	
1.	FOUNDATION: Concrete Conc. Post			Unit Cost
	Asbestos Siding Masonr Brick Common 1/3x Masonr Brick Face Metal C Conc. Metal P	y & Steel Sash y Veneer Clad	StoneStuccoTile, ClayTilt-up ConcWood2/3_ x 1.49	1.96
3.	ROOF STRUCTURE: Conc. Conc. & Tile Other	Wood Fran	ne with Wood Sheathing X	
	(Divide Cost by Number of Stories)	.63/3		.21
4.	POOF COVER.			
	Asbestos Shingle	Galv. Iron	Shakes	
	Built-up Composition	Roll X	Tile	
-	Composition Shingle	Slate	Wood Shingle	
	Other			
	(Divide by Number of Stories) . 09		F 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	.03
5.	FRAME:	Conc. Reinf.	Steel Fireproofed	
	FRAME: Cast Iron Columns	Steel Open	Wood . 14	
	Other			
	Decrease% for bearing wall	. 14/3		.04
6.	FLOOR:	Conc. on Ground	Hardwood 1/3 x .88	
	Brick on Ground		Softwood 2/3 x .63	
	Other . 29 4 . 42			.71
7.		noleum_	Softwood on Conc.	
	Asphalt Tile M	arble	Tenazzo	
	Cork Tile Ru	bber Tile	Tile, Ceramic_	
	Hardwood on Conc. Slo	ate	Vinyl Tile	
	Other			
8.	CEILING:			
	On Wood Structure X	On Steel or Co	ac Structure	
	Other	On Steel of Co	iic. Structure	.16
	- Ctilet			
9.	INTERIOR CONSTRUCTION: S	ingle Res	Other	
	.Min. X Few	Ave		1.30
		Arc.		
10.	HEATING and COOLING: 0	Gravity Furnace	Steam with Boiler	
		Heaters		
		Hot Water Radiator		
		Radiant Floor		
	0.1		Heat & Air Conditioning	-
			Treat o 7 m Conditioning	
11.	ELECTRICAL: Min. X	Few	Ave. Many	.14
12.	PLUMBING: Min. X	Few_	Ave Many	.40
	BASEMENT: Unit Cost	X Area	Divided by Total Area	incl. abov
	Total Unit C	Cost / Square Foot		\$5.13
	ches:AreaX Unit	Cost	Value	
	age			
Out	buildings			
-				
Lum	p Sum Additions			

MARKET DATA APPROACH Please refer to Market Data Book for full details on the following transactions which I have considered in making my estimate of value.

A. LAND

Land 1, at \$150 per front foot \$1.50 per sq. ft. (100' depth) is on Rose Street close to Main and reflects Main St. influence. Sale is believed to be at higher than market value as it tied in as a rear access to purchaser's adjoining property which fronts on Main St. It is adjacent to Redevelopment area.

Land 2, at \$40 per front ft., 30% per sq. ft. is on a 100' x 133' lot in an industrial zone and used for factory parking. It is somewhat less centrally located than subject area.

Land 19, at \$52 per front ft., 15¢ per sq. ft. (300 foot average lot depth-total are 3.06 acres) is in a newer industrial section considerably further from the center, but within the city limits.

Land 30, at \$49 per front ft. \$.32 per sq. ft. represents a price being asked for an industrial lot of about 3/4 of an acre, (154 deep) not nearly as close to the center of Danbury and with some fill necessary, and a ditch problem as the pictures show.

Land 31, at \$67 per front ft. \$.50 per sq. ft. is the indication by the capitalization of a lease rent of the worth of a factory parking lot in an industrial zone, reasonably comparable in location. (133' average depth).

B. BUILDINGS

Please refer to store and apartment sales in my Market Data Book. There are 10 sales in the "low" category which range from \$4.50 to \$7.50 per sq. ft. There is no basement (I consider the ground floor level as part of the total square foot area). The condition of the building is fair (church portion) to low (apartment portions). Location is poor for this type of building. For these reasons I feel that by Market comparison subject property is just below the bottom of the above range or around \$4.00 per sq. ft. or \$12,700.

RENTAL DATA

GROSS MULTIPLIER

INDICATED VALUE

See Income Approach.

The ground floor of this building is occupied by owner. The rentals upper floors are below the Market for the neighborhood. On the second floor rent is \$13.50 per mo. for 2 rooms rear and \$26 per month for 4 rooms front or \$6.60 per room per month on an average.

Stablized Gross Income (A) Meeting Room-Ground Floor 6 65¢/sq. ft./yr. = (B) Rooms-By comparison with other River Street ren and in view of shared bath, I use \$8 per room p month x 12 rooms or \$96 per month.	\$ 694 tals er 1,152
Total stabilized gross income	\$1,846
Less allowance for vacancy and lost rents 10%	185
Gross Effective Income	\$1,661
Less Expenses:	
Taxes \$183 Insurance Fire 70 Liability 140 210 Water 30 Repairs 160 Management (4%) 66	649
Net Income Attributable to Property Less: Interest on Land \$3,075 x 8%	\$1,012
Income Attributable to Improvements	\$ 766
Capitalized at 13% (8% interest plus 5% straight lindepreciation based on estimated 20 year remaining economic life.) = Add Land Value By Income Approach (in Round Figures)	

COMMENTS

Interest rate used above is based on the following estimate:

6% mortgage rate on 50% = 3%

10% equity rate on 50% = 5%

Interest rate=8%

NOTE: The 50% of value 6% mortgage loan is the most likely in this area.

Equity requirements of from 10-13% are applicable. However since I am using straight line depreciation, vacancy allowance, and realistic economic life, I will use 10%.

Page 5

CORRELATION OF APPROACHES

Value b	y Cost Approach	\$10,100
Value by	y Market Approach	12,700
Value by	y Income Approach	9,000
Tn m	veninian final value is hetween	\$10 000 and \$12 000

In my opinion final value is between \$10,000 and \$12,000. My final estimate is \$11,000 as follows:

Inprovements 7,900

Total \$11,000

COMMENTS