Recording Information Vol. 186 Page 241 Ezra Golden to The Golden Realty Co. (5th Parcel). July 16, 1930

Assessment: Land . . . **Building Improvements**

Total Assessment .

\$7,200 22,160 \$29,360

Tax Rate Taxes

Photographs and/or Sketch



40't Elm SP

Market Value (Appraisers Final Valuation)

Total

Land Improvements **Building Improvements**

36,750

\$ 9,250

\$46,000

Certification: I certify that I inspected the property on January 25, 1960 and that this appraisal has been made in accordance with standards of ethics and practice of The American Institute of Real Estate Appraisers.

Date of Appraisal February 12, 1960

Appraisers Signature

	actories, wareh
stores and tenements and a few dilapidated dwelling	
of Elm St. is stores and tenements. Residential oc	cupancy is non-
AND DESCRIPTION Trend is downward.	2010 64
Description This lot is virtually 100% covered by subject	
backs up to the Parks Mercier property.	O DUTTOTTE TO
Jtilities Sewer, water, gas, electricity, curbs, gutters and Improvements None.	and sidewalks.
Highest and Best Use of Property	
As store and tenement property as presently us	ied.
AND VALUATION Please refer to Market Data - on page 4.	
Considering land comparables particularly land 24 in that the land at this point on Elm Street is worth	\$275 per front
Cor 100' depth.	4-12 bor 110110
\$275 x 84% (factor for 70' depth)= \$231 per fr	ont ft.
Land Value\$231 .x 401 \$9,240	
Land Improvements	
40.010	
BUILDING DESCRIPTION AND COST APPROACH	
Occupancy Stores and tenements Building Class C.	
Quality LOW Age 1883 Condition Fai	r
Number of Rooms 25. 6 Apts. Number of Baths 6 Number o	f Lav. 3
Number of Stories 4 Total Height 40 Average Storingle Floor Area 2,800 Total Area 10,900 (1nd	y Height 10'
ingle Floor Area Gevuu	
Shape Approximate Causes Postanele or Clintily Imagular X Long De	standle or lucasular
shape: Approximate Square Rectangle or Slightly Irregular & Long Re	ctangle or Irregular
Shape: Approximate Square Rectangle or Slightly Irregular &_ Long Re Very Irregular	
Shape: Approximate Square Rectangle or Slightly Irregular Long Re Very Irregular Fotal Unit Cost Per Square Foot (From Page 3) .	\$7.69
Shape: Approximate Square Rectangle or Slightly Irregular Long Re Very Irregular Fotal Unit Cost Per Square Foot	
Shape: Approximate Square Rectangle or Slightly Irregular Long Re Very Irregular Fotal Unit Cost Per Square Foot (From Page 3)	\$7.69
Shape: Approximate Square Rectangle or Slightly Irregular Long Re Very Irregular Fotal Unit Cost Per Square Foot	\$7.69
Shape: Approximate Square Rectangle or Slightly Irregular Long Re Very Irregular Fotal Unit Cost Per Square Foot	\$7.69 1.34 10.31
Shape: Approximate Square Rectangle or Slightly Irregular Long Re Very Irregular Fotal Unit Cost Per Square Foot	\$7.69 1.34 10.31 \$112,379
Shape: Approximate Square Rectangle or Slightly Irregular Long Re Very Irregular Fotal Unit Cost Per Square Foot	\$7.69 1.34 10.31 \$112,379 70,799
Shape: Approximate Square Rectangle or Slightly Irregular Long Re Very Irregular Fotal Unit Cost Per Square Foot (From Page 3) Correct for Size and Shape 1.05 Height Dist. Multiplier 1.28 Fotal Adjusted Cost Per Square Foot	\$7.69 1.34 10.31 \$112,379 70,799 63%
Shape: Approximate Square Rectangle or Slightly Irregular Long Re Very Irregular Fotal Unit Cost Per Square Foot	\$7.69 1.34 10.31 \$112,379 70,799
Shape: Approximate Square Rectangle or Slightly Irregular Long Re Very Irregular Fotal Unit Cost Per Square Foot (From Page 3) Correct for Size and Shape 1.05 Height Dist. Multiplier 1.28 Fotal Adjusted Cost Per Square Foot	\$7.69 1.34 10.31 \$112,379 70,799 63% \$ 41,580
Shape: Approximate Square Rectangle or Slightly Irregular Long Re Very Irregular Fotal Unit Cost Per Square Foot	\$7.69 1.34 10.31 \$112,379 70,799 63% \$ 41,580
Shape: Approximate Square Rectangle or Slightly Irregular Long Re Very Irregular Fotal Unit Cost Per Square Foot	\$7.69 1.34 10.31 \$112,379 70,799 63% \$ 41,580

	BUILDING DESCRIPTION — Component P	art Check List
1.	FOUNDATION: Concrete Conc. Post Masonry_X Wood	Unit Cost
	Other	.18
2	EVERNOR WALL C. PL.	
۷.		
	Asbestos Siding Masonry & Steel Sash Stucco	200 Sept. 100 Se
	Brick Common Masonry Veneer Tile, Cla	2000
		Conc
		- 11
3.	ROOF STRUCTURE:	2.86
at 1	Conc. Conc. & Tile Wood Frame with Wood	Sheathing X
	(Divide Cost by Number of Stories) .72/4	.18
4.	ROOF COVER:	
	Asbestos Shingle Galv. Iron Shak	es
		The of the second
	Composition Shingle Slate Wood	d Shingle
	Other	Tolke 18 Control of
_	(Divide by Number of Stories) .18	.05
٥.	FRAME: 4 Conc. Reinf. Steel F Cast Iron Columns Steel Open Wood	ireproofed
	Cast Iron Columns Steel Open Wood Other	X .19
	Decrease 66 % for bearing wall.	.06
6.	FLOOR: Conc. on Ground Hard	
	Brick on Ground Reinf. Conc. Softw	wood X boow
	Other	.63
7.	FLOOR COVER: Linoleum in apartment softw	
	Asphalt Tile Marble Tena	zzo
	Cork Tile Rubber Tile Tile,	Ceramic
		Tile
		.15
8.		
	On Wood Structure On Steel or Conc. Structure	
	Other	.16
9	INTERIOR CONSTRUCTION: Single Res. Other	Apt.
	.Min Few Ave Many	1.90
	To The True	1.50
0.	HEATING and COOLING: Gravity Furnace Steam	n with Boiler
	Forced Air Heaters Steam	
	Furnace Floor or Wall Hot Water Radiators Bo	iler
	Gas Steam Radiators Radiant Floor	
	Other Combined Heat & Air Co	nditioningO
11.	. ELECTRICAL: Min Few Ave	Many20
2		
۷.		
	BASEMENT: Unit Cost 2.50 X Area 2800 Divided by Tot	al Area10,900 .65
	Total Unit Cost / Square Foot	\$7.69
)		
	rches:AreaX Unit CostValue	
Jur Jur	ragetbuildings	
	ILDUINUINGS	

MARKET DATA APPROACH A. Land. In analysing my land value, I have taken the following transactions into consideration (please refer to Market Data Book).

Land 1. \$150 per front ft., \$1.50 per sq. ft. Although this is an industrial lot (and subject a business zoned lot), it is close to Main Street and as such has an element of comparison-Not as good as subject property.

Land 12 at \$473 per front ft. and \$2.37 per sq. ft. will break down to \$270 per front ft. or \$2.70 per sq. ft. for 100' depth; using 4-3-2-1 depth rule.

Land 13 at \$402 per front ft. and 2.01 per sq. ft. will break down to \$230 per front ft. or \$2.30 per sq. ft. for 100' depth using 4-3-2-1 depth rule. It is adjacent to land 12.

Land 24 at \$160 per front ft. and \$2.46 per square ft. works out to \$200 per front ft. or \$2.00 per sq. ft. for 100' depths. It is in the same block as subject property but at the far end away from Main Street, an inside lot on the south side of Elm Street.

B. Property

Please refer to Market Data book, "Stores and Apartments" section. In analysing my transactions, I have graded each one "low" or "average". I have added \$1.00 per sq. ft. to the three transactions where the building had no basement.

The average per sq. ft. figure of 10 transactions in the low category is \$6.52 per sq. ft. The range in the "low" category is primarily with in the \$4.50 per sq. ft. to \$7.50 per sq. ft. bracket.

After careful study, my conclusion is that the stores and apartment properties on Elm Street on the average lie within the lower end of this range, primarily from \$4.50 to \$5.50 per sq. ft.

Because of the fact that this is a 4 story building and a large one in square foot building area per sq. ft. of ground area covered, (the land value being spread over more units of building), the lower end of the scale is indicated. In my opinion, even though this a masonry building it is worth between \$4.25 and \$4.50 per sq. ft. overall or from \$46,000 to \$49,000 by comparison.

RENTAL DATA

GROSS MULTIPLIER

INDICATED VALUE

See Income Approach

Rent Roll per Owner

Tavern			\$1,200
Laundry			1,200
6 apartments	(33	rooms)	2,880

Total \$5,280

The tavern and laundry rent, I accept. The apartments (33 rooms) at \$.35 per square foot and \$7.25 per room per month are below the going rate for Elm Street which is \$10 - 11 per room or around \$.60 per square foot. In my opinion the apartment rental should be raised to \$11. per room per mo., (this is only \$.54 per sq. ft. because of waste hall space. large rooms.)

Estimated Gross Income

Stores @ \$1.00 per sq. ft.	\$2,400
6 apartments (33 rooms @ \$11 per room per month)	4,356
Total Gross Income	\$6,756
Less: Allowance for Vacancies	675
Effective Cross Income	\$6,081

Expenses Less:

THATS	#11/5		
Insurance		OF APPROACHES	
Fire (\$32000) \$211			
110 (0)000/ 0011			
Liability 25/50 300			
	511		
Water	120		
Repairs	750		
Management	243		
		50 70	00
		95,1	ラブ

Ne	t Encome Attributable to Property	\$3,282
	Less: Income Attributable to Land	739
	Income Attributable to Improvements Capitalized at 11% (5% Interest plus 3% straight line depreciation based on estimated 30-35 year	\$2,543
	remaining life)	23,118
	Add Land	\$32.358
	In round figures	\$32,350

COMMENTS

Interest rate used above is based on the following estimate: 6% mortgage rate on 50% - 3% 10% equity rate on 50%

Interest rate - 8% Note: The 50% of value 6% mortgage loan is the most likely in this area. Equity requirements of from 10-13% are applicable. However since I am using straight line depreciation, vacancy allowance, and realistic economic

CORRELATION OF APPROACHES

Indication of Cost Approach Indication of Market Approach Indication of Income Approach \$50,800 46,000 to \$49,000 \$32,350

In this case we have a building which was orginally very expensive and is now substantially depreciated. It is probable that I should have taken some economic depreciation in my cost approach as the decline in the area affects a good building that much more than a poor building.

On the other hand the building has possibilities of exploitation which are not being realized at present. For instance, the apartments could be split in two making twice as many apartments thereby resulting in an improved economic picture. Furthermore the stores, being close to Main Street, might bring higher rentals with some decoration, and improvement of store fronts.

My conclusion is that the income approach indicates "low" in this case because of lack of exploitation of economic possibilities. I am inclined to go along with the minimum market indication of \$46,000 as follows:

Land \$ 9,250 Improvements 36,750

Total \$ 46,000