## REAL ESTATE APPRAISAL

Redevelopment Agency of the City of Danbury

DANBURY Parcel No. 7-	3	RO	BERT N.	NOCE	TEN STATE OF THE	CON	NECTICUT
(1) ADDRESS 309-313 Main	Street	778	(9)	A POLICE	PHOTOGR	RAPH	14. E. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18. 18
		A BANK					
(2) OWNER Vincent & Rich	ard					TOTAL PLANT FOR THE	THE RESERVE
Dono		April American III					- 14
(3) ASSESSED (195 4 L24340 B 1		4-1-		1-34	17/2 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -		三/計
(4) LEGAL - VOL. 162 P 148 D			664	是不是一		THE REST OF	3 3
		OF LAND-	-		4		2 2
APPROX. 53 x 89 average							A TOP OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P
on which (is) (are) (to be) (under con							
building with brick					160	4	West Services
2 Stores on first le			7 10 0	CATS	DANEU	RY HOME AFFLIA	THEFE
offices and tenement			100	N X		OTHER PERSON	DRYER
second floor.	0 022					21	S12095 WASHER
320						198"	\$1781
** 4717 sq. ft.			A CONTRACTOR			350	A BOUND IN
The second secon	en la colonia de la colonia	Maria	1 -	1 6			- 2
The second secon			le one	igeton abus	officers and a second second second	No. of the Control of	
The second of the second of the	ada wat		(10)	12 ye 25	HISTORICAL BA	CKGROUND	Par skings and the
		100		Not Kn		CONTRACTOR OF STATE OF	A Late Block
The state of the s		430	PURCHASE				
					3,000.00		
			IMPROVEMI	NTS SINC	E PURCHASE NOW S	tore front,	
			decora	ting,	general main	tenance.	
				-	*	1 4	
(6) LOCATION OF PROPERTY		1 (11)	DEDE	ODUCTI	ON COST LESS DEE	PECIATION	150
E/s Main Street between	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		CU. FT.	UNIT	ON COST LESS DEP	RECIATION	
White and Crosby Street	the state of the s	5413			Sav 48700	A Company Agent Total	Section Section 2
The state of the s	0	5 4344		The second second	Project Control of the Control of th	ALCOHOLD TO SECURITY	No. of Contract
	4 300			the state of the		A Market Manager	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
7 WORK NECESSARY OR PROP	OSED	LESS PHYS	5. 1	2 3			
		WEAR-OUT	r   %	% %	24300		
NONE			OUND VALUE	*			
			SURABLE PO				
		THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON	JRABLE VAL	JE			
		MINUS		-1 -	•		
		OBSOLESCENC	BLDG. VALUE	% %	24400	-	
		(12)	SLDG. VALUE		24400		
		(13) LAND	*	FF	F. (ACRES) @ \$		
		53 F.F.	Ann				15400
		00 2 02	25 6000	, 25 00	· o da f	Day -	20200
						BUILDING 1 = 5	24400
						BUILDING 2 =	
a Secretary Secretary Supplies						BUILDING 3 =	
(8) PROPERTY RATING UNDER COMP MARKET CONDITIONS		To the last				-	
NEIGHBORHOOD	3	*INCLUDES	OT IMPROVE	ENTS LISTE	D UNDER ITEMS 25-27	-	
ARCHITECTURAL APPEAL	3	(14) FAIR	MARKET	ALUE	D CHUER HEMS 23-27	s (	9800
INTERIOR LAYOUT	3						
EQUIPMENT AND FIXTURES	3						
QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION	3	(15) 1445	ET VALUE -	HE BRICE 4	SELLED		
PHYSICAL CONDITION	_3	WOULD BE .	ET VALUE : T JUSTIFIED IN RANTED IN PA	ACCEPTING	AND A		
ZONE Industrial		RENT MARKE	T CONDITIONS		\$69,800	•00	
PROPERTY MARKETABILITY	3	(16) DATE	3/29/	60	Kobert	Moce	
			(1	)	Robert N	. Noce, SRA	

(17-23)	D	ES	CRIPTIO	NOFN	EIGHB	0	RHOO	D *				
(17) PROPE	RTY TYPE	-	(18) STRE		-	_	MENT		WALKS		RB	
1-2-3 FAMILY			IMPROVE	MENTS	Concr	9	te	Concr	ete	Concre		
MULTI-FAMILY			UTILITIES		ELECT.	_	WATER	GAS	ST. SEWE	SAN. SEWER	PHON	IE_
APARTMENT			AVAILABLE			_						-
NEIGHBORHOOD COMME	RCIAL	X	CONNECTED		X	_	X	X	X	X	X	
INDUSTRIAL			(19)	AGE			(20) OCC	UPANCY	(21)	TREND		
	Market of the State of the Stat		NEW (2 YRS. O	R LESS)			BEST		UP FOR	oc	CUP'CY	_
	WELL A FEE W		MODERN (BUI	LT 1936-195	)		BUS. OR I	PROF.	STATIC	FOR		
	Lateral Land and Table 1988		MIDDLE (BUIL	T 1915—1935	5)		SMALLS	ALARIED	DOWNE	OR		×
The state of the s	DOMESTIC STATE		OLD (BUILT BE	FORE 1915)	2	2	WAGEEA	RNERS X			135	
and the same				l III			WHITE	X				
		1		N. T. T.	5 5		COLORED			MICL MARKETON		
difficult. The	stores and t king is limit ome stores mo e trend is de mediately sur ny great numb	ene ed. vec fir rou er	It was lout of nitely do anding the of sales	the ar subjective downwar ne pro	ea is cted t anger d slow ject a	f	heav one a y. Th	develo y floo nd ren e real s been	ding i ting i estat	raffic n 1955 became se mark	and more et	
(24-27)		2.4	DESCRIPTIO	NOFMA	IN BUILD	IN	IG SITE		234.5			
(24)	TOPOGRAPHY	1	TH*	(25)	LOT IMP	R	OVEMENT	5	(26)	GENERA	L	
AT GRADE LEVEL	SLOPES UP % SL	OPES	DOWN %	LAWN N	one	10.00	SEPTIC TA	NK NO	None			
BELOW " F1	. SLOPES UP % SL	OPES	DOWN %	SHRUBS	11		CESSPOOL	M		(1) 10 10 10 10 10	200	-
#BOVE FI	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	OPES	DOWN %	WALKS	H.		WELL	-and H	(A) (A) (A) (A)	15年4年19日		
		141	mere de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la	DRIVE	ill.					Difference of the same of		
Highest and B	est Use - Bus pre			nd exi	sting	z	rpose	s in a	ccords	ance Wi	th 1	US
(28)	BUILDING SKETCH			(29) NO	42/3 (Bar - 68) 24	-	2 2 20 20 20 20 20			LCULATIO		
		100	0.00	25	x 68	×	2	- 3400	X		A STATE OF S	9 40
- 10 P	30-10			18	x 46	X	2	- 1656	×	=		
	17			17	x 21	×	1	- 357	×	-		
	0 103		el su		89 %	X		-	X	-		
72.	3 17					X		-	x	=		
CROSSY LANG	2	G	2 3			X		-	×	4		
S SY	10 1					X		-	X	-		
1			8		mag.	X	,6.	- 2	X	-		
7-3			5 Marie	9	X 38	×		100	X	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	4	
	10 40	-	ST		COLUMN TO SERVICE	×	and the state of	- Prince Mark	×			***************************************
	MA	111	* ON E HOLD		TOTA		A Section 1	5413	X	± 1.3	A M	
	7-3				27.12	-	IT RE	0				
		1	Water						8			

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON LAND DESCRIPTION: The land is held together with all right, title and interest of the grantor in and to the land lying in said Crosby Lane and said Main St. in front of said premises to the center line thereof. Subject to the right of adjoining from a passway known as Crosby Lane as recorded in Volume 172 page 467 of the Danbury Land Records.

LAND VALUATION - A study and analysis of all available land sales and land rentals was made by this appraiser. It must be recognized that in view of the high density development of the immediate area that few sales of vacant land could be found. A study of surrounding areas uncovered land sales which after careful analysis and adjustment were found to be adequate guides to value. The page numbers refer to the comparable sales and rental data compiled by this appraiser and submitted herewith.

Page No.	Comment
107A	Similar frontage, more depth, corner location.
110	More frontage, better location, more land.
136	Less frontage, more central.
138	Less frontage, better location, more depth.

After careful consideration and adjustment for such items as size, location, physical characteristics and date of sale the estimated front foot value of the subject land is indicated to be \$900.00.

MARKET DATA APPROACH - Your appraiser has made a study and analysis of sales in the immediate area. The following sales are considered to be the best obtainable and have been adjusted in relation to the subject property for such items as location, size, condition, date of sale and amount of land. The page numbers refer to the comparable sales data compiled by this appraiser and submitted.herewith.

Page No.	Comment	Gross Multiplier
Page No. 135	More land, less central, similar building. Less frontage, smaller building.	8.90 6.94
138	Less frontage, better location, larger building.	8.14
144	Less frontage, larger building, poorer location.	6.33
Estimate of	Value by the Income Approach	\$67,500.00

The above estimate is based on the sales listed together with others in the area after adjustment for size, location, date of sale and condition. The indicated Gross Multiplier after adjustment is 6.75 and is submitted purely as additional information found in the market. It is arrived at after considerable adjustment but does support the Market Data Approach.

Rental Data	Gross Multiplier	Indicated Value
\$10,020.00	6.75	\$67,600.00

#### Vincent J. & Richard Donofrio Parcel No. 7-3

### CAPITALIZATION OF INCOME

Unit		Actual	Stabilized
Double Store 1 Room 3-Rm. Apt. 2-Rm. Apt. 5 Rms. Bents	4	\$7200.00 684.00 576.00	\$7200.00 780.00 540.00 1500.00
Vacancy and	abilized Gross Rent Loss - 10 After V. & R.		\$10020.00 1002.00* \$ 9018.00
EXPENSES: TOTAL EXPENS	Taxes Insurance Water Repairs Heat	\$1552.96 338.83 86.00 150.00 700.00	\$ 2827.79
Net to Land Less Land Ch Net to Build	and Buildings arges \$45,400 ing	@ 6% equals	\$ 6190.21 2724.00 \$ 3466.21
\$3466.21 Cap	italized @ 15%	** equals	\$23100.00
capitalized	Value:		
Land \$45,	400 Bldg.	\$23,100 TOTAL	\$68500.00

<sup>\*</sup> Due to type of building.

<sup>\*\* 8%</sup> return and 7% depreciation based on estimated remaining economic life of 14 years. Due to age of building, type of construction and condition, the remaining economic life is considered to be lower than average. It is felt that the prudent investor would seek a faster recapture rate for his risk capital in consideration of the above factors.

(30) FOUNDATIO											-
	N		(33) SIDING		1	(35) GUTTERS	_	(38) ROOF MATERIA	AL	(42) BAYS	
BRICK	-		ASPHALT-BRIC SIDIN	IG	_	вох		ROLL PAPER	-	FRONT STOR	Y _
CINDER BLOCK			BLOCK-CINDER-CONCRE	TE	_	BUILT-IN	_	SHINGLES-ASBESTOS	-	SIDE STOR	Y
CONCRETE			BRICK	72.7		COPPER	_	-ASPHALT	A	None	
PIERS			BRIC-CRETE	772	_	GALVANIZED	A	WOOD			
STONE-RUBBLE			CLAPBOARDS			WOOD		Comp.	A	(43) PORCHES	
STONE-		A	NOV. SIDING							FRONT STOR	Y
			SHINGLES-		1	(36) LEADERS		(39) CHIMNEYS		None	
DAMP-PROOFED			SHINGLES-			COPPER .		EXT. INT. 1	A	SIDE STOR	Y
STUCCOED AND PA	RGED		STUCCO ON Wire	Lat	h	GALVANIŽED	A	(40) DORMERS			
(31) HATCHWAY		A	Over Frame		P		17	FRONT		REAR STOR	Y
32) WALL CONSTR	RUCTI	ON	34: WINDOWS			DRY WELL CONN.		SIDE None	1111	(44) MISCELLANE	OU
FRAME-	1 1	A	CASEMENT Stee.	1	A	SEWER		REAR		and the same of th	
		40	DOUBLE HUNG	-	A	(37) ROOF TYPE		(41) ENCL. ENTRIES	;		
SHEATHING-PATEN		-	DOUBLE HONG	-	53		A	FRONT	A	X	
·· -wood					-	GABLE	A		- 22		
DIAGONAL-STRAIG	HT		FRICTION TYPE			GAMBREL	_	SIDE	1 1	City Services	-
	-		SPRING "		-	HIP		REAR	-		- -
		- 1	WEIGHTS	A.	A	Flat	A	I .			110
(45-63) BUII	LDING	NC	1 - INTERIOR DE	SCR	IPT	ION			1011		TIN.
(45) LAYOUT	HEIGH	Т	AREA	R	NOC	S, CLOSETS, ETC.					
BASEMENT	7		SQ. FT.								
1 STORY	10		2885 so. FT.	Ar	pl	iance Showro	om 8	office 2 -	승 ]	Baths	
2 STORY	8		2528 so. FT.	01	fi	ce & a 1,2 &	3 F	m.Apt. 2 Full	,1	- Bath.	
STORY			SQ. FT.								
897	OTAL		5413 so. FT.				TITLE				
	or in State	-	1	-		(FA) 1ST STORY FL	OORS	(54) CEILINGS		(60) DECORATION	,
(46) CELLAR		-	(48) PLUMBING			(50) 1ST STORY FL			1		-
WHOLE PART NON	E	_	PIPE-BRASS			X JOIST	S	PLASTER	-	PAPER	
			" -COPPER			SUB-FLOOR		SHEET ROCK	-	PAINT	_ 1
FLOOR-CONC.	A	1	" -GALV.		A	STRAIGHT DIAGONAL	A	WALLBOARD	-		1
FLOOR- Dirt	1	7	FIXTURE TYPE			FINISH FLOORS		Tile Board	G	(61) FIREPLACES	
CEILING-			COMMON-OLD			FIR		LATH			
WALLS			MODERN		A	MAPLE	111 4 4	(55) SPECIAL FLOOI	RS	None	
WALLS			SEMI-MODERN	-		OAK		LINOLEUM		(62) INSULATION	
	CTEM	_		-			A	TILE-CER.		BLANKET	
(47) HEATING SY	SIEM	-	LAVATORY-LEGS		-	PINE			G		
FL. FURNACE	-	_	** -PED.	65	R			·· -ASPHALT	- Car	REFLECTIVE	
HOT WATER	#	¥	·· -WALL	5	PL	(51) 2ND STORY FL	OORS	" -RUBBER	-	RIGID	
RADIANT			TUB-OLD STYLE	-		2 x 8 Joist	S		1	ROOF	-
STEAM-PIPE			" -RECESSED	2	A	SUB-FLOOR		(56) SPECIAL WALLS	5	CEILING	
STEAM-VAPOR			SHOWER-TUB-STALL			STRAIGHT DIAGONAL	A	TILE WAINS.		WALLS	
WARM AIR-FÖRCED	0		W.CCOMMON			FINISH FLOORSPIN	e A	K. CEM ''		(63) MISCELLANE	OU
" -GRAVIT			"-MODERN	5	A			KNOTTY PINE			
" " -1 PIPE		-	SINK-CABINET	-	-	(52) 3RD STORY FL	OORS	PANELING WOOD	A	Brick Frt.	-
		7			-	(02) 01(0 01 01(1 1 2				20 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
SUMMER COND.	-	-	·· -COMB.	-	-			(EZ) TOIM	-		
WINTER "		_	" -COMMON	-	-		-	Standard	A	The state of the s	- -
			DITAMCTUAW.H	-		(53) WALLS		Dominara	23		
MAKE			Domestic	-	A	PLASTER	A				
COAL FIRED			LAUNDRY TRAYS		1	SHEET ROCK	A	(58) DOORS	-,		
GAS BURNER			(49) LIGHTING			WALLBOARD		Standard	A		
OIL BURNER		A	WIRING IN BX CABLE		A	Transaction is					
			WIRING IN NON-MET. CA	***************************************				(59) HARDWARE	11 3		
STOKER						LATH-METAL		Standard	Α		
THERMOSTAT		-	WIRING-OPEN					THE WASHINGTON	-63		
RADIATION		77	04/	1	A	" ROCK	-		-		
TANK	1		FIXTURE-TYPE St		A	WOOD WOOD			1	1	
		TA	RY BUILDINGS' DES	SCR	IPT	ION None					ELEC
(64) SUPPL	LEMEN	17				WALLS   ROOF TYPE-MA		FLOORS   INT. FIN		HEATING PLUMB.	

PROPERTY RATING  A — REPRODUCTION COST: COST OF DUCATION BASED UPON CURRENT LAI MATERIAL AND SUB-CONTRACT PRICES FREASONABLE CONTRACTOR'S WRITE-UI MATERIAL AND SUB-CONTRACTOR'S WRITE-UI MATERIAL AND SUB-CONTRACTOR		ANATION OF CODE SYMBOLS	(66) EXPLANATION OF TERMS USED
EXCELLENT  1 - EXCELLENT  2 - BETTER THAN AVERAGE  3 - AVERAGE  3 - AVERAGE  3 - AVERAGE  3 - AVERAGE  4 - POORER THAN AVERAGE  5 - VERY LIMITED  1 - NEW  5 - SPECULATIVE  ADDENDA  Correlation and Final Estimate of Value  Estimate of Value by the Cost Approach  Estimate of Value by the Market Data Approach  Final Estimate of Value  The three approaches to value are in line. The greatest weight has been given to the Cost Approach. The Market Data Approach is based on a study of the rental situation in the area as applied to the estimated stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	PHYSICAL CONDITION		
REASONABLE CONTRACTORS WRITE-UI - AVERAGE - POORER THAN AVERAGE - POORER THAN AVERAGE - POORER THAN AVERAGE - VERY POOR - S - VERY LIMITED - VERY POOR - S - VERY LIMITED - VERY LIMITED - VERY POOR - S - VERY LIMITED - VERY POOR - S - VERY LIMITED - VERY POOR - S - VERY LIMITED - VERY LIMITED - VERY LIMITED - VERY POOR - S - VERY LIMITED - VERY POOR - S - VERY LIMITED - VERY LIMI	E = EXCELLENT		CATION BASED UPON CURRENT LABOR
- POORER THAN AVERAGE - POORER THAN AVERAGE - VERY POOR - S - VERY LIMITED - NEW - S - SPECULATIVE  ADDENDA  Correlation and Final Estimate of Value  Estimate of Value by the Cost Approach Estimate of Value by the Income Approach Estimate of Value by the Income Approach  Final Estimate of Value  The three approaches to value are in line. The greatest weight has been given to the Cost Approach. The Market Data Approach is based on a study of the rental situation in the area as applied to the estimated stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	G = BETTER THAN AVERAGE	2 = BETTER THAN AVERAGE	MATERIAL AND SUB-CONTRACT PRICES PLU
S - VERY POOR S - VERY LIMITED CAL WEAR-OUT AND NON-INSURABLE IN IONS.  ADDENDA  Correlation and Final Estimate of Value  Estimate of Value by the Cost Approach  Estimate of Value by the Market Data Approach  Estimate of Value by the Income Approach  Estimate of Value by the Income Approach  Estimate of Value by the Income Approach  Estimate of Value by the Market Data Approach  Estimate of Value by the Income Approach  Estimate of Value  The three approaches to value are in line. The greatest weight has been given to the Cost Approach. The Market Data Approach is based on sales requiring a great deal of adjustment. The Income Approach is based on a study of the rental situation in the area as applied to the estimated stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	A = AVERAGE	3 = AVERAGE	REASONABLE CONTRACTOR'S WRITE-UP.
ADDENDA  Correlation and Final Estimate of Value  Estimate of Value by the Cost Approach  Estimate of Value by the Market Data Approach  Estimate of Value by the Income Approach  Estimate of Value  The three approaches to value are in line. The greatest weight has been given to the Cost Approach. The Market Data Approach is based on a study of the rental situation in the area as applied to the estimated stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	P = POORER THAN AVERAGE	4 = POORER THAN AVERAGE	B-INSURABLE VALUE: REASONABLE RE
ADDENDA  Correlation and Final Estimate of Value  Estimate of Value by the Cost Approach  Estimate of Value by the Market Data Approach  Estimate of Value by the Income Approach  Estimate of Value  The three approaches to value are in line. The greatest weight has been given to the Cost Approach. The Market Data Approach is based on sales requiring a great deal of adjustment. The Income Approach is based on a study of the rental situation in the area as applied to the estimated stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	D = VERY POOR	5 = VERY LIMITED	PRODUCTION COST LESS ESTIMATED PHYS ICAL WEAR-OUT AND NON-INSURABLE POR
Estimate of Value by the Cost Approach  Estimate of Value by the Market Data Approach  Estimate of Value by the Market Data Approach  Estimate of Value by the Income Approach  Estimate of Value by the Income Approach  Estimate of Value  Estimate of Value  The three approaches to value are in line. The greatest weight has been given to the Cost Approach. The Market Data Approach is based on sales requiring a great deal of adjustment. The Income Approach is based on a study of the rental situation in the area as applied to the estimated stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	N = NEW	S = SPECULATIVE	and the second s
Estimate of Value by the Cost Approach  Estimate of Value by the Market Data Approach  Estimate of Value by the Income Approach  Estimate of Value by the Income Approach  Estimate of Value by the Income Approach  Estimate of Value  The three approaches to value are in line. The greatest weight has been given to the Cost Approach. The Market Data Approach is based on sales requiring a great deal of adjustment. The Income Approach is based on a study of the rental situation in the area as applied to the estimated stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	(67)	ADDENDA	
Estimate of Value by the Market Data Approach  Estimate of Value by the Income Approach  Final Estimate of Value  The three approaches to value are in line. The greatest weight has been given to the Cost Approach. The Market Data Approach is based on sales requiring a great deal of adjustment. The Income Approach is based on a study of the rental situation in the area as applied to the estimated stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	Correlation and Fir	al Estimate of Value	
Estimate of Value by the Income Approach  Final Estimate of Value  The three approaches to value are in line. The greatest weight has been given to the Cost Approach. The Market Data Approach is based on sales requiring a great deal of adjustment. The Income Approach is based on a study of the rental situation in the area as applied to the estimated stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	Estimate of Value	by the Cost Approach	\$69,800.00
The three approaches to value are in line. The greatest weight has been given to the Cost Approach. The Market Data Approach is based on sales requiring a great deal of adjustment. The Income Approach is based on a study of the rental situation in the area as applied to the estimated stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	Estimate of Value	by the Market Data Approach	67,500.00
The three approaches to value are in line. The greatest weight has been given to the Cost Approach. The Market Data Approach is based on sales requiring a great deal of adjustment. The Income Approach is based on a study of the rental situation in the area as applied to the estimated stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	Estimate of Value	by the Income Approach	68,500.00
The three approaches to value are in line. The greatest weight has been given to the Cost Approach. The Market Data Approach is based on sales requiring a great deal of adjustment. The Income Approach is based on a study of the rental situation in the area as applied to the estimated stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	Finel Fatimate	of Velue	\$60,000,00
given to the Cost Approach. The Market Data Approach is based on sales requiring a great deal of adjustment. The Income Approach is based on a study of the rental situation in the area as applied to the estimated stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	FINAL ESTAMATE	OI VALUE	COURT
requiring a great deal of adjustment. The Income Approach is based on a study of the rental situation in the area as applied to the estimated stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	The three appr	oaches to value are in line. T	he greatest weight has been
requiring a great deal of adjustment. The Income Approach is based on a study of the rental situation in the area as applied to the estimated stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	given to the Cost A	pproach. The Market Data Appro-	ach is based on sales
study of the rental situation in the area as applied to the estimated stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend			
stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	requiring a great d	eal of adjustment. The Income	Approach is based on a
stabilized rentals herein. The operating expenses appear to be in line with those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a necessary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend			
those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a neces-	study of the rental	situation in the area as apply	ied to the estimated
those of similar properties in the area. Vacancy and rent loss is a neces-			
sary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	stabilized rentals	herein. The operating expenses	appear to be in line with
sary consideration due to the type of building and general downward trend	those of sinilar no	conting to the own Warner	
	cuose of Staffar br	operties in the area. vacancy	and rent loss is a neces-
	nowy consideration	due to the twee of building on	i manual famoura i
of the area.	sary consideration	due to the type of building and	d general downward trend
	of the area.		
	OI GILO AI OZ.		

# Vincent & Richard Donofrio Parcel No. 7-3 AFFIDAVIT OF VALUATION

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
COUNTY OF Fairfield | ss.

Robert N. Noce of Danbury, Conn. being duly sworn, deposes and says that:

- 1. The facts and figures contained in this report are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief:
- 2. The fee for this appraisal and compilation of my report is in no sense contingent upon the value estimate reported:
- 3. I, the undersigned appraiser, have no present or contemplated future interest in the property herein appraised:
- 4. The opinions and conclusions herein expressed are mine, and in no way reflect those of another without due acknowledgement:
- 5. I have personally examined the within described premises on 3/29/60.
- 6. The within described premises, in my opinion, has a fair market value of \$69,800.00.

Robert Moce LS

Sworn and subscribed before me this

day of

19

Notary Public



ROBERT N. NOCE
REALTOR - APPRAISER

47 Division Street, Danbury, Connecticut PIoneer 3-6686